



REMARKS

I. Office Action Summary

Claims 69-74 and 81-108 are pending. Claims 69, 91 and 101 are the independent claims. In the Office Action mailed September 7, 2006, the Examiner rejected claims 69-70, 73-74, 81-90 and 99-108 for obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-11 of U.S. 6,079,413. Claims 91-97 were allowed and claims 98, 71, and 72 were indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form

II. Rejections For Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's rejection of claims 69-70, 73-74, 81-90 and 99-108 based on obviousness-type double patenting, however Applicant provides a terminal disclaimer to obviate this rejection in order to expedite issuance of a Notice of Allowance. Claims 71-72 and 98 depend from independent claim 69, therefore their allowability directly follows from the allowability of claim 69.

III. Conclusion

In view of the attached terminal disclaimer, Applicant submits that all of the pending claims (69-74 and 81-108) are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Kent E. Gerin
Registration No. 37,834
Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200